Surfaces containing two circles through each point

M. Skopenkov

Abstract

We find all analytic surfaces in 3-dimensional Euclidean space such that through each point of the surface one can draw two transversal circular arcs fully contained in the surface. The search for such surfaces traces back to the works of Darboux from XIXth century. We prove that such a surface is an image of a subset of one of the following sets under some composition of inversions:

- the set $\{\, p+q : p\in\alpha, q\in\beta \,\}$, where α,β are two circles in $\mathbb{R}^3$;
- the set $\{\, 2\frac{[p\times q]}{|p+q|^2} : p\in\alpha, q\in\beta, p+q\neq 0 \,\}$, where α,β are two circles in S^2;
- the set $\{\, (x,y,z) : Q(x,y,z,x^2+y^2+z^2)=0 \,\}$, where $Q\in\mathbb{R}[x,y,z,t]$ has degree 2 or 1.

The proof uses a new factorization technique for quaternionic polynomials.

Keywords: circle, Moebius geometry, quaternion, Pythagorean n-tuple, polynomials factorization
2010 MSC: 51B10, 13F15, 16H05

arXiv:1512.09062v2 [math.DG] 4 Nov 2016

1 Introduction

This is written for you, the Real Scientist. This is the only way to reach you. I know that all you want is the truth. Not career, not glory, not pushing forward own field or students. This is a tiny particle of the truth. You can understand and apply it independently on your specialization.

For which surfaces in 3-dimensional Euclidean space, through each point of the surface one can draw two transversal circular arcs fully contained in the surface? This is a question which simply must be answered by mathematicians because of a natural statement and obvious architectural motivation — recall Shukhov's hyperboloid structures. However, it remained open in spite of many partial advances starting from the works of Darboux from the XIX century. In a satellite paper [20] with Krasauskas it was reduced to a purely algebraic problem of finding all Pythagorean 6-tuples of polynomials. The present paper solves the problem by means of a new factorization technique for quaternionic polynomials, and thus completes the solution.

Main Theorem 1.1. *If through each point of an analytic surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ one can draw two transversal circular arcs fully contained in the surface (and analytically depending on the point) then some composition of inversions takes the surface to a subset of one of the following sets (see Video 1):*

(E) the set $\{\, p+q : p\in\alpha, q\in\beta \,\}$, where α,β are two circles in $\mathbb{R}^3$;

(C) the set $\{\, 2\frac{[p\times q]}{|p+q|^2} : p\in\alpha, q\in\beta, p+q\neq 0 \,\}$, where α,β are two circles in S^2;

(D) the set $\{\, (x,y,z) : Q(x,y,z,x^2+y^2+z^2)=0 \,\}$, where $Q\in\mathbb{R}[x,y,z,t]$ has degree 2 or 1.

Here an *analytic surface* in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the image of an injective real analytic map of a planar domain into $\mathbb{R}^3$ with nondegenerate differential at each point. A circular arc *analytically depending* on a point is a real analytic map of an analytic surface into the real analytic variety of all circular arcs in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

[0]The author was partially supported by the President of the Russian Federation grant MK-6137.2016.1, "Dynasty" foundation, and the Simons–IUM fellowship.



Figure 1: Euclidean (E) and Clifford (C) translational surfaces, and a Darboux cyclide (D) [13, 20].

Background

The search for surfaces containing 2 circles or lines through each point traces back to XIXth century. Basic examples — a one-sheeted hyperboloid and a nonrotational ellipsoid — are discussed in Hilbert–Cohn-Vossen's "Anschauliche Geometrie". There (respectively, in [14]) it is proved that a surface containing 2 lines (respectively, a line and a circle) through each point is a quadric or a plane. A torus contains 4 circles through each point: a "meridian", a "parallel", and two Villarceau circles.

All these examples are particular cases of a *Darboux cyclide*, surface (D) in Main Theorem 1.1 above. Almost each Darboux cyclide contains at least 2 circles through each point, and there is an effective algorithm to count their actual number [18, 21]. Conversely, Darboux has shown that 10 circles through each point guarantee that an analytic surface is a Darboux cyclide. This result has been improved over the years: in fact already 3, or 2 orthogonal, or 2 cospheric circles are sufficient for the same conclusion [12, Theorem 3], [9, Theorem 1], [3, Theorem 20 in p. 296]; cf. [14, Theorems 3.4, 3.5]. Hereafter two circles are called *cospheric*, if they are contained in one sphere or plane.

Recently Pottmann noticed that a *Euclidean translational surface* (E) contains 2 circles through each point but is not a Darboux cyclide for generic α, β [14, Example 3.9]. *Clifford translational surface* (C) with similar properties was found by Zubė. It may have degree up to 8. It is the stereographic projection of the set $\{\, p \cdot q : p \in \alpha, q \in \beta \,\}$, where S^2 is identified with the set of pure imaginary unit quaternions. A surface in S^3 containing a *great* circle and another circle through each point is the inverse stereographic projection of either (C) or (D) up to a rotation [13, Corollary 2b].

Any sufficiently large grid of circular arcs is a subset of a surface containing 2 circles through each point by [7, Theorem 3.7]. Hereafter an $n \times n$ *grid of arcs* is two collections of $n+1$ disjoint arcs such that each pair of arcs from distinct collections intersects.

Surfaces containing 2 circles through each point are particular cases of surfaces containing 2 conic sections through each point. The latter have been classified by Schicho [19]. Using Schicho's results, in [20] the classification of the former has been reduced to solving the equation

$$X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2 + X_4^2 + X_5^2 = X_6^2 \tag{1}$$

in polynomials $X_1, \dots, X_6 \in \mathbb{R}[u, v]$ of degree at most 2 in each of the variables u and v. Such "Pythagorean 6-tuple" of polynomials defines a (possibly degenerate) surface $X_1(u,v) : \dots : X_6(u,v)$ in S^4 containing two (possibly degenerate) circles $u = \text{const}$ and $v = \text{const}$ through each point. Eq. (1) gives a system of 25 quadratic equations on 36 coefficients of the polynomials, hence it is not directly accessible for a computer analysis.

The usual method of solving such equations is *factorization* — recall the classical parametrization of Pythagorean triples and Kummer's approach to the Fermat Last Theorem [17]. Pythagorean 3- and 4-tuples of real polynomials were described in [4, Theorem 2.2] using that $\mathbb{C}[u, v]$ is a unique factorization domain (UFD). A similar result holds for 6-tuples of polynomials in one variable (see Corollary 2.2 below, cf. [10, Theorem 7.2]) because $\mathbb{H}[u]$ is still a UFD in a sense [15, Theorem 1 in Chapter 2], cf. [5, 8], [6, §3.5]. Next, 6-tuples of degree 2 polynomials in 2 variables are described in a recent work by Kollár [11, Theorem 8]. He used algebraic geometry of the Veronese surface; this seems also achievable by factorization; cf. Problem 3.5. Factorization is helpless in degree 4 because $\mathbb{H}[u, v]$ is *not* a UFD, with a degree 4 counterexample; see Example 1.3 [1]. This cannot be repaired by a divisor theory because of no nice multiplication of ideals in noncommutative setup.

Another method to describe the solution set is to give *transformations* producing all solutions from a few initial ones recursively. For integral Pythagorean n-tuples, $n \le 9$, this was done in [2]. But this does not give a parametrization of the solution set and is not easily generalized to polynomials.

Finally, a parametrization of Pythagorean n-tuples *up to common factor* is immediately given by the inverse stereographic projection. But this does not allow to extract the required degree 4 solutions.

So the case of 6-tuples of polynomials of degree 2 in u and v arising in our geometric problem seems to be the simplest case not accessible by known methods. (Description of 5-tuples is harder.)

Main tools

We find the following parametrization of the set of solutions of Eq. (1) in polynomials of small degree. It is convenient to state it in terms of quaternions. Denote by $\mathbb{H}_{mn} \subset \mathbb{H}[u,v]$ the set of polynomials with quaternionic coefficients of degree at most m in u and at most n in v (the variables commute with each other and the coefficients). Denote $\mathbb{H}_{*n} := \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_{mn}$. Define $\mathbb{R}_{mn}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{*n}$ and analogously.

Theorem 1.2. *Polynomials $X_1, \dots, X_6 \in \mathbb{R}_{22}$ satisfy Eq. (1) if and only if up to a linear transformation $\mathbb{R}^6 \to \mathbb{R}^6$ preserving this equation (and not depending on the variables u, v) we have*

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 + iX_2 + jX_3 + kX_4 &= 2ABCD, \\ X_5 &= (|B|^2 - |AC|^2)D, \\ X_6 &= (|B|^2 + |AC|^2)D \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for some $A, B, C \in \mathbb{H}_{11}$, $D \in \mathbb{R}_{22}$ such that $|B|^2 D, |AC|^2 D \in \mathbb{R}_{22}$.

Theorem 1.1 is deduced from Theorem 1.2 using the resuts of [20]. Let us show the origin of Theorem 1.2. We start with a naive approach (working only for a UFD), then extract the major obstacle, and finally introduce a tool to overcome it. Informally, we use an interlacing of factorization and transfomation methods in a noncommutative setup, which is a completely new approach.

Denote $Q := X_1 + iX_2 + jX_3 + kX_4 \in \mathbb{H}[u,v]$, $P := X_6 - X_5$, $R := X_6 + X_5$. Then Eq. (1) and (2) are equivalent to $Q\overline{Q} = PR$ and $(P, Q, R) = (2|AC|^2 D, 2ABCD, 2|B|^2 D)$ respectively.

The equation $Q\overline{Q} = PR$ is easily solved in any commutative UFD with an involution $Z \mapsto \overline{Z}$. All solutions with $\overline{P} = P, \overline{R} = R$ are parametrized by $(P, Q, R) = (A\overline{A}D, ABD, B\overline{B}D)$, where A, B, D are elements of the UFD with $\overline{D} = D$; cf. [4, Proof of Theorem 2.2]. In other words, after cancellation of a common divisor, Q splits into a product of two factors of norm squares P and R.

Neither this assertion nor unique factorization hold in $\mathbb{H}[u,v]$ in any reasonable sense:

Example 1.3. *(Beauregard [1, 20]) The polynomial $Q = u^2v^2 - 1 + (u^2 - v^2)i + 2uvj$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{H}[u,v]$ but $Q\overline{Q} = (u^2 - \sqrt{2}u + 1)(v^2 - \sqrt{2}v + 1) \cdot (u^2 + \sqrt{2}u + 1)(v^2 + \sqrt{2}v + 1) =: P \cdot R$.*

We thus have to solve a nonlinear equation over a noncommutative ring which is not a UFD. We could not find any known methods suitable for that and have to develop a completely new approach.

To overcome the obstacle, we perform linear transformations preserving the equation $Q\overline{Q} = PR$ and simplifying the solution in a sense. Our transformations usually have form

$$(R', Q', P') = (R, Q - TR, P - T\overline{Q} - Q\overline{T} + TR\overline{T}) \tag{3}$$

with $T \in \mathbb{H}$. They correspond to linear transformations $\mathbb{R}^6 \to \mathbb{R}^6$ in Theorem 1.2. Transformations (3) with nonconstant $T \in \mathbb{H}[u,v]$ are also instrumental, although not allowed in the theorem. An interlacing of factorization and transformation methods leads to a surprisingly short proof.

Example 1.4. *For $T = j$ the polynomials from Example 1.3 are transformed to $(R', Q', P') = (|B|^2, ABC, |AC|^2)$, where $A = (1-j)\left(u + \frac{-i-j}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$, $B = \left(v + \frac{1+k}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left(u + \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$, $C = v + \frac{-j-k}{\sqrt{2}}$.*

Remark 1.5. *Taking "random" T, A, B, C of the same degrees, one can produce examples like 1.3.*

Example 1.6. *The irreducible polynomial from Example 1.3 satisfies another surprising identity:*

$$(u^2+1)Q = \left(u + \frac{-k-j}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left(v + \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left(u + \frac{1+k}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left(u + \frac{-1+k}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left(v + \frac{-1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left(u + \frac{j-k}{\sqrt{2}}\right).$$

2 Proofs

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are proved in this section. The proofs use the results of [20] and are self-contained in other respects. We need several lemmas, in which the equation $Q\overline{Q} = PR$ is solved step by step for Q of degree 0 or 1 in v. Examples below show that the degree bounds in the lemmas are essential. Straightforward proofs of the examples are omitted because they are not used in the other proofs. Assertions 2.1–2.3 do not pretend to be new, although we did not find them in the literature.

Lemma 2.1. *If polynomials $Q \in \mathbb{H}[u]$ and $P, R \in \mathbb{R}[u]$ satisfy $Q\overline{Q} = PR$, then $(P, Q, R) = (A\overline{A}D, ABD, B\overline{B}D)$ for some $A, B \in \mathbb{H}[u]$ and $D \in \mathbb{R}[u]$.*

Proof. Use induction over $\deg Q$. The base is $Q = 0$, that is, $\deg Q = -\infty$. Then either P or R, say, R vanishes. Clearly, $A = 1$, $B = 0$, $D = P$ are the required polynomials.

To make induction step, assume that $Q \neq 0$ and the lemma holds for all Q of smaller degree. We have $P, R \neq 0$. Either P or R, say, R has degree at most $\deg Q$. Divide each of the 4 components of Q by R with remainders in $\mathbb{R}[u]$. We get $Q = TR + Q'$ for some $T, Q' \in \mathbb{H}[u]$ and $\deg Q' < \deg R \leq \deg Q$. Perform transformation (3). It preserves the equation $Q\overline{Q} = PR$ and decreases $\deg Q$. By the inductive hypothesis, $Q' = A'BD$ and $R = B\overline{B}D$ for some $A', B \in \mathbb{H}[u]$. Thus $Q = Q' + TR = (A' + T\overline{B})BD =: ABD$ and $P = Q\overline{Q}/R = A\overline{A}D$ as required. □

Corollary 2.2. *Polynomials $X_1, \dots, X_6 \in \mathbb{R}[u]$ satisfy Eq. (1) if and only if for some $A, B \in \mathbb{H}[u]$, $D \in \mathbb{R}[u]$ we have $X_1 + iX_2 + jX_3 + kX_4 = 2ABD$, $X_5 = (|B|^2 - |A|^2)D$, $X_6 = (|B|^2 + |A|^2)D$.*

Example 2.3. *For $A = u + i$, $B = v + j$ there are no $A', B', D \in \mathbb{H}[u, v]$ such that $(B\overline{B}, AB, A\overline{A}) = (A'\overline{A}'D, A'B'D, B'\overline{B}'D)$.*

Lemma 2.4. *If polynomials $Q \in \mathbb{H}_{*1}$, $P \in \mathbb{R}_{*2}$, $R \in \mathbb{R}_{20}$ satisfy $Q\overline{Q} = PR$, then either (P, Q, R) or (R, Q, P) equals $(A\overline{A}D, ABD, B\overline{B}D)$ for some $A, B \in \mathbb{H}[u, v]$ and $D \in \mathbb{R}[u, v]$.*

Proof. Assume that $R \neq 0$; otherwise set $(A, B, D) = (1, 0, P)$. Divide each of the 4 components of Q by $R \in \mathbb{R}[u]$ with remainders in $\mathbb{R}[u, v]$. We get $Q = TR + Q'$, where $T, Q' \in \mathbb{H}_{*1}$ and the degree of Q' in u is less than $\deg R$. Perform transformation (3). We get $P' \in \mathbb{R}_{02}$ because $P'R = Q'\overline{Q}'$ and the degree of Q' in u is less than $\deg R \leq 2$. Assume that $Q' \neq 0$; otherwise set $(A, B, D) = (T, 1, R)$.

By [20, Splitting Lemma 1.7] it follows that Q' is a product of two factors $A' \in \mathbb{H}_{01}$ and $B \in \mathbb{H}_{10}$ in some order. Set $D := \frac{R(u)}{|B(u)|^2} = \frac{|A'(v)|^2}{P'(v)} \neq 0$. We get $R = B\overline{B}D$, $D \in \mathbb{R}(u) \cap \mathbb{R}(v) = \mathbb{R}$, and

$$Q = TR + Q' = \begin{cases} (D^{-1}A' + T\overline{B})BD =: ABD, & \text{if } Q' = A'B; \\ B(A'D^{-1} + \overline{B}T)D =: BAD, & \text{if } Q' = BA'. \end{cases}$$

Then $P = Q\overline{Q}/R = A\overline{A}D$. In the case when $Q = BAD$ it remains to relabel A and B. □

Example 2.5. *For $(A, B, C) = (u + i, v + j, u + k)$ or $(u + i, uv + j, v + k)$ there are no $A', B', D \in \mathbb{H}[u, v]$ such that $\{|AC|^2, ABC, |B|^2\} = \{A'\overline{A}'D, A'B'D, B'\overline{B}'D\}$.*

Lemma 2.6. *If polynomials $Q \in \mathbb{H}_{*1}$ and $P, R \in \mathbb{R}[u, v]$ satisfy $Q\overline{Q} = PR$, then either (P, Q, R) or (R, Q, P) equals $(|AC|^2D, ABCD, |B|^2D)$ for some $A, C \in \mathbb{H}[u]$, $B \in \mathbb{H}[u, v]$, $D \in \mathbb{R}[u, v]$.*

Proof. Step 1. Let us reduce the lemma to the particular case when $R \in \mathbb{R}[u]$, $P \notin \mathbb{R}[u]$, and Q, R have no common nonconstant real divisors.

First assume that Q and R have a common irreducible real divisor D. Then $PR = Q\overline{Q}$ is divisible by D^2. Hence either P is divisible by D or R is divisible by D^2. Replacing (P, Q, R) by $(P, Q, R)/D$ or $(P, Q/D, R/D^2)$ one cancels D. Assume further that Q and R have no such divisors.

Now assume that R has an odd degree in v. Then it has an irreducible divisor D of odd degree in v. Take any $\hat{u} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $D(\hat{u}, v)$ has an odd degree in v. The equation $D(\hat{u}, v) = 0$ has a real root $v(\hat{u})$. Write $Q = X_1 + iX_2 + jX_3 + kX_4$ with $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \in \mathbb{R}[u, v]$. We have $|Q(\hat{u}, v(\hat{u}))|^2 = 0$, hence $X_n(\hat{u}, v(\hat{u})) = 0$ for $n = 1, \dots, 4$. By the Bezout theorem the two curves $X_n(u, v) = 0$ and

$D(u,v)=0$ have a common component. Since D is irreducible, it divides X_n. Thus D is a common divisor for R and Q, a contradiction. Hence R has even degree in v.

Assume that Q has degree 1 in v; otherwise the lemma follows from Lemma 2.1. Then without loss of generality $R\in\mathbb{R}[u]$ and $P\notin\mathbb{R}[u]$ because P and R have in fact been equitable so far.

Step 2. Let us prove the lemma in the particular case stated in Step 1 by induction over $\deg R$.

The base $\deg R\le 2$ is Lemma 2.4. Assume that $\deg R>2$. Factorize $R=R'R''$ with irreducible $R'\in\mathbb{R}[u]$ so that $\deg R'$ is 1 or 2. Apply Lemma 2.4 to the triple (PR'',Q,R'). We get $R'=Q'\overline{Q}'D'$, $PR''=Q''\overline{Q}''D'$, and either $Q=Q'Q''D'$ or $Q=Q''Q'D'$ for some $Q',Q''\in\mathbb{H}[u,v]$, $D'\in\mathbb{R}[u,v]$. Here $D'=\mathrm{const}$ as a common divisor of Q and R. Assume that $D'=1$; otherwise divide P,Q,R by D'. We have $Q''\in\mathbb{H}_{*1}$ and $Q'\in\mathbb{H}[u]$ as divisors of Q and R' respectively.

Apply the inductive hypothesis to the triple (P,Q'',R''). We get $Q''=ABCD$ and either $P=|AC|^2D$ or $P=|B|^2D$ for some $A,C\in\mathbb{H}[u]$, $B\in\mathbb{H}[u,v]$, $D\in\mathbb{R}[u,v]$. Here again $D=\mathrm{const}$. Hence $|AC|^2D\in\mathbb{R}[u]$. Thus $P=|B|^2D$ because $P\notin\mathbb{R}[u]$. Further, $Q=Q'Q''=(Q'A)BCD$ or $Q=Q''Q'=AB(CQ')D$. Finally, $R=Q\overline{Q}/P=|(Q'A)C|^2D=|A(CQ')|^2D$, as required. □

Corollary 2.7. *(Cf. [1, Proposition 3]) The norm square of a polynomial $Q\in\mathbb{H}_{*1}$ is reducible in $\mathbb{R}[u,v]$ if and only if Q is either reducible or equal to a real polynomial times a constant quaternion.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The 'if' part is straightforward. Let us prove the 'only if' part. Assume that P,Q,R have degree 2 in each of the variables and have no common nonconstant real divisors; otherwise the theorem follows from Lemma 2.6. Expand $Q(u,v)=:Q_0(u)+Q_1(u)v+Q_2(u)v^2$. Define P_2 and R_2 analogously. Since $Q\overline{Q}=PR$ it follows that $Q_2\overline{Q}_2=P_2R_2$. If $\deg Q_2=2$ then $\deg P_2=\deg R_2=2$ and transformation (3) for appropriate $T\in\mathbb{H}$ kills the leading term of Q_2. Thus we may assume that $\deg Q_2\le 1$. Then either $\deg P_2\le 1$ or $\deg R_2\le 1$. Assume that $\deg R_2\le 1$; otherwise interchange P and R, which is one more linear transformation.

Since $Q_2\overline{Q}_2=P_2R_2$ and $\deg R_2\le 1$, by Lemma 2.1 it follows that $Q_2=TR_2$ for some $T\in\mathbb{H}_{10}$. Now transformation (3) kills Q_2, but this time it does not correspond to a linear map $\mathbb{R}^6\to\mathbb{R}^6$. We get $Q'=Q-TR\in\mathbb{H}_{31}$. By Lemma 2.6 we have $Q'=ABC'D$ and either $R=|B|^2D$ or $R=|AC'|^2D$ for some $A,C'\in\mathbb{H}[u]$, $B\in\mathbb{H}[u,v]$, $D\in\mathbb{R}[u,v]$.

Here $D=\mathrm{const}$ as a common divisor of P,Q,R. Hence $|AC'|^2D\in\mathbb{R}[u]$, thus $R=|B|^2D$ because $R\notin\mathbb{R}[u]$. We have $ABC'D=Q'\ne 0$; otherwise R is a common divisor of P,Q,R. Since $ABC'D\in\mathbb{H}_{31}$ it follows that either A or C', say, A has degree at most 1. Divide $T\in\mathbb{H}_{10}$ by $A\in\mathbb{H}_{10}$ from the left with a remainder: $T=AS+T'$, where $S\in\mathbb{H}[u]$ and $T'\in\mathbb{H}$. We get

$$Q=Q'+TR=ABC'D+T|B|^2D=AB(C'+\overline{B}S)D+T'|B|^2D=:ABCD+T'|B|^2D.$$

So transformation (3) with T replaced by $T'\in\mathbb{H}$ takes (R,Q,P) to $(|B|^2D,ABCD,|AC|^2D)$.

Since $P,Q,R\in\mathbb{H}_{22}-\{0\}$, the required degree restrictions on A,B,C,D in Eq. (2) follow. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First assume that the two circular arcs drawn through each point of the surface are cospheric. Then the surface has form (D) by [3, Theorem 20 in p. 296] or [14, Theorem 3.5]. Also, if there is an open subset of the surface such that through each point of the subset one can draw infinitely many pairwise transversal circular arcs contained in the surface then the surface has form (D) by [20, Lemma 3.16]. Assume further that the two circular arcs drawn through some point (and hence through each sufficiently close one) are not cospheric and that through each point of a dense subset of the surface one can draw only finitely many pairwise transversal circular arcs contained in the surface.

Consider $\mathbb{R}^3$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^4$ and perform the inverse stereographic projection of $\mathbb{R}^4$ to S^4. By [20, Corollary 1.6] the resulting surface has a parametrization $X_1(u,v):\dots:X_6(u,v)$ for some $X_1,\dots,X_6\in\mathbb{R}_{22}$ satisfying Eq. (1).

By Theorem 1.2 up to a linear transformation preserving Eq. (1) we have Eq. (2) for some $A,B,C\in\mathbb{H}_{11}$, $D\in\mathbb{R}_{22}$ such that $|B|^2D,|AC|^2D\in\mathbb{R}_{22}$. In particular, $AC\in\mathbb{H}_{11}$. Performing the stereographic projection $X_1:\dots:X_6\mapsto(X_1+iX_2+jX_3+kX_4)/(X_6-X_5)$, we obtain that the initial surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the image of the surface $\Phi(u,v)=\overline{A}(u,v)^{-1}B(u,v)\overline{C}(u,v)^{-1}$ under a composition of inversions. By [20, Corollary 1.4] the initial surface is the image of a subset of one of the sets (C), (D), (E) under a composition of inversions. □

3 Open problems

Variations of the initial question with some additional restrictions on the surfaces are also interesting.

Problem 3.1. *Let α, r, and R be fixed. Find all surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that through each point of the surface one can draw two transversal circlular arcs fully contained in the surface and (1) having radii r and R; or (2) intersecting at angle α; or (3) the planes of which intersect at angle α.*

The following "curved chessboard conjecture" is the strongest possible form of Theorem 1.1, cf. [7, Theorem 3.7]. See Theorem 1.1 and Subsection "Background" for the required definitions.

Problem 3.2. *Is each 8×8 grid of circular arcs contained in one of the sets (C), (D), (E)?*

As a corollary, one could get the following incidence result (A. Bobenko, private communication).

Problem 3.3. *Ten blue and ten red disjoint circles are given in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Each variegated pair except one has a unique intersection point. Is it true that the latter pair must have a unique intersection point?*

One of our results (Corollary 2.7) leads to a conjecture that unique factorization holds in a sense for quaternionic polynomials of degree 1 in one of the two variables. Let us make it precise (cf. [15]).

Problem 3.4. *Two decompositions of a polynomial from $\mathbb{H}[u, v]$ into irreducible factors of degree ≤ 1 in v are given. Is it true that the factors of the two decompositions are similar in pairs?*

Problem 3.5. *Do Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6 remain true for P, Q, R of entire degree 2 and 3 respectively?*

Although our results are stated for quaternionic polynomials, they seem to reflect a general algebraic phenomenon. The latter may be useful to solve our geometric problem in higher dimensions.

Problem 3.6. *Do Lemmas 2.1,2.4,2.6 remain true, if $\mathbb{H}$ is replaced by another ring with an involution?*

Acknowledgements

This results have been presented at Moscow Mathematical Society seminar, SFB "Discretization in geometry and dynamics" colloquim in Berlin, and Ya. Sinai – G. Margulis conference. The author is grateful to A. Pakharev for numerous useful discussions, joint numerical experiments and related studies [16], to R. Krasauskas, N. Lubbes, L. Shi for Video 1 and useful remarks, and to A. Bobenko, A. Gaifullin, A. Kanunnikov, O. Karpenkov, A. Klyachko, N. Moshchevitin, F. Petrov, H. Pottmann, G. Robinson, I. Sabitov, J. Schicho, S. Tikhomirov, E. Vinberg, J. Zahl, S. Zubė for useful discussions.

I am grateful to you for reading up to this point.

References

[1] R. Beauregard, When is F[x,y] a unique factorization domain?, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117:1 (1993), 67–70.

[2] D. Cass, P.J. Arpaia, Matrix generation of Pythagorean n-tuples, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 109:1 (1990), 1–7.

[3] J.L. Coolidge, A treatise on the circle and sphere, Oxford, the Clarendon Press, 1916, 603 pp.

[4] R. Dietz, J. Hoschek, B. Juettler: An algebraic approach to curves and surfaces on the sphere and on other quadrics, Computer Aided Geometric Design 10 (1993), 211-229.

[5] I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, R.L. Wilson, Quasideterminants, Adv. Math 193(2005),56–141.

[6] G. Gentili, C. Stoppato, D. Struppa, Regular functions of a quaternionic variable, Springer Monographs in Math. 2013.

[7] L. Guth, J. Zahl, Algebraic curves, rich points, and doubly-ruled surfaces, ArXiv:1503.02173.

[8] B. Gordon, T. S. Motzkin, On the zeros of polynomials over division rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1965), 218-226.

[9] T. Ivey, Surfaces with orthogonal families of circles, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. 123:3(1995),865–872.

[10] J. Kocik, Clifford algebras and Euclid's parametrization of Pythagorean triples, Adv. Appl. Clifford Alg. 17:1 (2007), 71-93

[11] J. Kollár, Quadratic solutions of quadratic forms, ArXiv:1607.01276.

[12] N. Lubbes, Families of circles on surfaces, Contrib. Alg. Geom., to appear; ArXiv:1302.6710.

[13] N. Lubbes, Clifford and Euclidean translations of circles, ArXiv:1306.1917.

[14] F. Nilov, M. Skopenkov, A surface containing a line and a circle through each point is a quadric, Geom. Dedicata 163:1 (2013), 301-310; ArXiv:1110.2338.

[15] O. Ore, Theory of non-commutative polynomials, Annals of Math. (II) 34, 1933, 480-508.

[16] A. Pakharev, M. Skopenkov, Surfaces containing two circles through each point and decomposition of quaternionic matrices, submitted; ArXiv:1510.06510.

[17] M. Postnikov. The Fermat Theorem. An introduction to algebraic numbers theory. Moscow, Science, 1978, 128 pp.

[18] H. Pottmann, L. Shi, M. Skopenkov, Darboux cyclides and webs from circles, Comput. Aided Geom. D. 29:1 (2012), 77–97; ArXiv:1106.1354

[19] J. Schicho, The multiple conical surfaces, Contrib. Algeb. Geom. **42:1** (2001), 71–87.

[20] M. Skopenkov, R. Krasauskas, Surfaces containing two circles through each point and Pythagorean 6-tuples, submitted; ArXiv:1503.06481.

[21] N. Takeuchi, Cyclides, Hokkaido Math. J. 29, 119–148 (2000).

MIKHAIL SKOPENKOV
NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS (FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS) &
INSTITUTE FOR INFORMATION TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS, RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
skopenkov@rambler.ru http://skopenkov.ru